

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,901	02/25/2004	Ricky S. Amos	YOR920030334US1 (16899)		
23389	7590 07/29/2005		EXAMINER		
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300			SARKAR, ASOK K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			2891		
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	10/786,901	AMOS ET AL.	$\left( \mathbf{R}\mathbf{v}\right) $				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	(40)				
	Asok K. Sarkar	2891					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Fe	ebruary 2004						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application.			•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	5) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
·	')□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Tr) The oath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer, Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	<i>i</i> -152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul><li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li><li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li></ul>	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.		•				
2 Certified copies of the priority documents	• * * *						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National S	tage				
application from the International Bureau		d					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	a.					
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 lada a dia ang 6 6	(DTO 412)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							
r aper rivis/riviali Date	од <u>— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —</u>						

Application/Control Number: 10/786,901 Page 2

Art Unit: 2891

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 1 – 48, drawn to a process for making CMOS structure, classified in class 438, subclass 199.

Group II. Claims 49 – 52, drawn to a CMOS structure, classified in class 257, subclass 204+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as the replacement gate process.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains <u>Group I claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:</u>

Species I claims: Claims defined by the First embodiment of the invention described in paragraphs 53 – 89.

Species II claims: Claims

Claims defined by the Second embodiment of the invention

described in paragraphs 90 - 101.

Species III claims:

Claims defined by the Third embodiment of the invention

described in paragraphs 102 – 118.

5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

- 6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 2891

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asok K. Sarkar whose telephone number is 571 272 1970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday (8 AM- 5 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William B. Baumeister can be reached on 571 272 1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,901

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2891

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Page 5

Asok K. Sarkar

Asok K. Sarkai July 25, 2005

**Primary Examiner**